



Variational Convergence and Homogenisation

Γ -convergence

① General theory

We want to define a notion of cv, named Γ -cv, ensuring

- (i) cv of the minimizers
- (ii) cv of the energy

Given a metric space (X, d) and a sequence of functionals $E_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ we would like to define a limit E satisfying:

- If $u_j \in X$ s.t. $E_j(u_j) = \inf_X E_j$ and $u_j \rightarrow u$ then $E(u) = \inf_X E$

- $\inf_X E_j = E_j(u_j) \rightarrow E(u) = \inf_X E$

def (Γ -cv) We say that $\{E_j\}_j$ Γ -cv to E if $\forall u \in X$:

i) $\forall \{u_j\}_j \in X$ s.t. $u_j \rightarrow u$ we have (Lower Bound)
 $E(u) \leq \liminf E_j(u_j)$ LB

ii) $\exists \{\bar{u}_j\}_j \in X$ s.t. $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$ and (Upper Bound)
 $E(u) = \lim E_j(\bar{u}_j)$ UB

then the fundamental result of Γ -cv is the following one

thm Assume E_j cv to E . If $\{u_j\}_j$ is a sequence in X s.t. $u_j \rightarrow u$ and $\lim_j E_j(u_j) = \lim_j \inf_x E_j$, then u is a minimizer of E and moreover
$$E(u) = \min_x E = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf_x E_j$$

proof

Since $u_j \rightarrow u$ we know that

$$E(u) \leq \liminf_j \inf_x E_j(u_j)$$

Moreover $\forall w \in X \exists \{\bar{u}_j\}_j$ s.t. $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow w$ and
 $E(\bar{u}_j) \rightarrow E(w)$

thus,
$$\liminf_j \inf_x E_j \leq \lim_j E_j(\bar{u}_j) \leq E(w)$$

which shows that $\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}(v) \quad \forall v \in X$ and
 $\mathcal{E}(u) = \min_k \mathcal{E} \leq \liminf \mathcal{E}_i \leq \mathcal{E}(v)$ and taking $v = u$
 we obtain the result

From the definition of Γ -cv we have the following properties □

Remark 1 If \mathcal{E}_i Γ -cv to Γ then Γ is l.s.c. in X .

let $u^k \rightarrow u$. Since we have the lim-sup bound

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find a sequence $\bar{u}_j^k \rightarrow u^k$ s.t.

$\lim_j \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j^k) = \mathcal{E}(u^k)$. By recurrence we can
 build a non-decreasing sequence σ_k of integers

s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall j \geq \sigma_k$

$$d(\bar{u}_j^k, u^k) \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad |\mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j^k) - \mathcal{E}(u^k)| \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

Define $w_j = \bar{u}_j^k$ if $\sigma_k \leq j \leq \sigma_{k+1}$ s.t.

$$w_j \rightarrow u$$

$$\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \liminf \mathcal{E}_j(w_j) \leq \liminf_k \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_k}(w_{\sigma_k})$$

$$\leq \liminf \mathcal{E}(u^k)$$

Remark 2 If $\mathcal{E}_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$ then all subsequence

$\mathcal{E}_{j_k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$. To show the lim-inf we consider

a sequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ and define

$$\bar{u}_j = \begin{cases} u_{j_k} & \text{if } j = j_k \\ u & \text{if } j \neq j_k \quad \forall k \end{cases}$$

s.t. $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$ and

$$E(u) \leq \liminf_j E_j(\bar{u}_j) \leq \liminf_k E_{j_k}(\bar{u}_{j_k}) = \liminf_k E_{j_k}(u_{j_k})$$

For the lim sup $E_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E$ then $\exists \{\bar{u}_j\} \in X$ s.t. $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$

and
$$E(u) = \lim_k E_{j_k}(\bar{u}_{j_k}) = \lim_k E_{j_k}(u_{j_k})$$

Rmk 3 If $E_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E$ and $G: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is conti. then
$$E_j + G \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E + G$$

def $\forall u \in X$ we define the Γ -limits

$$E^l(u) = \inf \left\{ \liminf E_j(u_j) \mid u_j \rightarrow u \right\}$$

$$E^u(u) = \inf \left\{ \limsup E_j(u_j) \mid u_j \rightarrow u \right\}$$

we have then the following result

prop $\mathcal{E}_j \Gamma \cup$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}''$ and in this case the Γ -limit $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}''$.

proof Assume $\mathcal{E}_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$ and prove that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}''$

Notice that $\mathcal{E}' \leq \mathcal{E}''$ so it is enough to

Show that $\mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}'' \leq \mathcal{E}$

From the UB we have $\forall u \in X$ and $\forall \mu_j \rightarrow \mu$ that

$$\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \liminf \mathcal{E}_j(u_j)$$

it is easy to see $\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}'$

By the UB $\exists \bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \lim_j \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) = \lim_j \sup \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) \geq \mathcal{E}''(u)$$

Assume now $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'' < \mathcal{E}$. We want to show $\mathcal{E}_j \not\xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$

By definition $\forall u \in X$ and $\forall u_j \rightarrow u$

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \mathcal{E}'(u) \leq \liminf \mathcal{E}_j(u_j)$$

We have now to show the UB in order to conclude

in particular we have to show that $\exists \bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$

s.t.

$$\limsup \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) \leq \mathcal{E}''(u) = \mathcal{E}(u).$$

Consider the case $\mathcal{E}''(u) < +\infty$. $\forall \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ we can

find a sequence $u_j^\kappa \rightarrow u$ s.t.

$$\limsup_j \mathcal{E}_j(u_j^\kappa) \leq \mathcal{E}''(u) + \frac{1}{\kappa}$$

By recurrence build a sequence G_n non-decreasing
 s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall j \geq G_n$

$$d(u_j^k, u) \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \mathcal{E}_j(u_j^k) \in \mathcal{E}^u(u) + \frac{2}{k}$$

take $\bar{u}_j = u_j^k$ if $G_n \leq j \leq G_{n+1}$ s.t. $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$

and $\limsup \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) \in \mathcal{E}^u(u)$ □

In separable metric spaces $\Gamma(u)$ enjoys a nice compactness property

prop Let (X, d) a sep. metric space and $\mathcal{E}_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$
 a sequence of functionals. Then $\exists \mathcal{E}_{j_k}$ and
 $\mathcal{E}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ s.t. $\mathcal{E}_{j_k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$

proof Since X is sep. \exists a countable $\{u_j\}$

And by diagonal extraction we can extract
 a subsequence \mathcal{E}_{j_k} s.t.

$$\liminf_k \mathcal{E}_{j_k} \text{ exists } \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\forall u \in X$ define \mathcal{E}' the Γ -liminf and
 Γ -limsup. \mathcal{E}'' then we have only to
 show that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}''$. We always have
 $\mathcal{E}' \leq \mathcal{E}''$

let $u \in X$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $u \in U_i$ if $u_n \rightarrow u$
 then we have $u_n \in U_i$ for n large enough
 and

$$\liminf_k \inf_{U_i} \varepsilon_{j_k} \leq \liminf_k \varepsilon_{j_k}(u_n)$$

$$\sup_{\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid u \in U_i\}} \limsup_k \inf_{U_i} \varepsilon_{j_k} \leq \varepsilon'(u)$$

Since U_i is a basis we have

$$\sup_{\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid u \in U_i\}} \limsup_k \inf_{U_i} \varepsilon_{j_k} \geq \sup_n \limsup_k \inf_{d(u, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{n}} \varepsilon_{j_k}(\sigma)$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $\bar{\sigma}_n \in X$ s.t. $\forall k \geq \bar{\sigma}_n$ we have

$$\inf_{d(u, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{n}} \varepsilon_{j_k}(\sigma) \leq \varepsilon'(u) + \frac{1}{n}$$

$\exists \sigma_n^n \in X$ s.t. $d(u, \sigma_n^n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ with.

$$\varepsilon_{j_k}(\sigma_n^n) \leq \varepsilon'(u) + \frac{2}{n}$$

Again $\bar{\sigma}_n = \sigma_n^n$ if $\bar{\sigma}_n \in k \leq \bar{\sigma}_{n+1}$ $\bar{\sigma}_n \rightarrow u$ and

$$\varepsilon''(u) \leq \limsup_k \varepsilon_{j_k}(\bar{\sigma}_n) \leq \varepsilon'(u)$$

prop The sequence $\mathcal{E}_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$ if and only if
 for all subsequence there exists a subsequence
 which Γ -cv to \mathcal{E}

Proof We have already seen that if $\mathcal{E}_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$ then
 for all \mathcal{E}_{j_k} we have $\mathcal{E}_{j_k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$

Now assume that $\mathcal{E}_j \not\xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$. It means

- \exists either $\bar{u}_j \rightarrow u$ s.t. $\liminf \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) < \mathcal{E}(u)$
- or $\limsup \mathcal{E}_j(u_j) > \mathcal{E}(u) \forall u_j \rightarrow u$.

1st case we can extract a subsequence s.t.

$$\lim_k \mathcal{E}_{j_k}(\bar{u}_{j_k}) = \liminf \mathcal{E}_j(\bar{u}_j) < \mathcal{E}(u)$$

By hyp from this subsequence \mathcal{E}_{j_k} we can
 extract another subsequence $\mathcal{E}_{j_{k_e}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$ and

in particular

$$\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \liminf_l \mathcal{E}_{j_{k_e}}(\bar{u}_{j_{k_e}}) = \lim_l \mathcal{E}_{j_{k_e}}(\bar{u}_{j_{k_e}}) = \lim_k \mathcal{E}_{j_k}(\bar{u}_{j_k})$$

2nd case \exists a neighb U of u s.t. $\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \limsup_j \inf_U \mathcal{E}_j$

We can extract a subsequence

$$\lim_k \inf_U \mathcal{E}_{j_k} = \limsup_j \inf_U \mathcal{E}_j$$

By hyp. we can extract another subsequence $\mathcal{E}_{j_{k_e}}$
 Γ -cv \mathcal{E}

$\exists \bar{u}_\ell \rightarrow u$ ($\mu_\ell \in U$ for ℓ large enough) s.t.

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \lim_{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{j_{k\ell}}(\bar{u}_\ell) \geq \lim_{\ell} \inf_U \mathcal{E}_{\delta_{k\ell}} = \liminf_{k,U} \mathcal{E}_{\delta_{k\ell}}$$

Example

$$X = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(u) = \begin{cases} \int \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + f_n u & w_0^{1,p} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ then we have $\mathcal{E}_n \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$

• (liminf) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^2 and $\mathcal{E}_n(u_n) \leq C$

the $w_0^{1,p}$ norm of u_n is bounded

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ in } L^p$$

and by semi continuity we $\int \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p \leq \liminf$

$+ \int f_n u_n \rightarrow \int f u$ since we have

weak w of f_n and strong of u

$$\liminf \mathcal{E}(u_n) \geq \mathcal{E}(u)$$

~ (limsup) take $\mu_n = u$ with $\mathcal{E}(u) < +\infty$